


As the Court has previously advised the Petitioner, a certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); see Rule 11(a), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. A petitioner must show that reasonable jurists could debate whether the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 338 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000). The Court has already set forth its reasoning for the dismissal of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and explained why it declined to issue a certificate of appealability. [Doc. 8]. The Petitioner sets forth no new arguments to convince the Court that it should reconsider its prior Order and now issue a certificate of appealability.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Petitioner's Motion for Certificate of Appealability [Doc. 6] is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 9, 2024



Martin Reidinger
Chief United States District Judge

